

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/617,086	07/14/2000	Masahiro Tsujishita	649-753P	5579
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 12/28/2007 ART KOLASCH & BIR	СН	EXAM	INER
PO BOX 747			LAO, LUN S	LUNS
PALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2615	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/617,086	TSUJISHITA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Lun-See Lao	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence addres	:s			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUS of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mamunication. It attutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) by will, by statute, cause the application to become	JNICATION. Ity a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	· ,					
1) Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>09 October 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL .	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the pract	tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-21 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) Claim(s) 1-5,7 and 12-21 is/are allowed 6) Claim(s) 8-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict the specification is objected to by the specification is objected to be specification.	are withdrawn from consideration. wed. iction and/or election requirement. the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any obje	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	4047.0			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	- ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies	y documents have been received. y documents have been received is of the priority documents have be onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stag	ge			
•						
Attachment(s)	.□	Currence (PTO 440)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	PTO-948) Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

1. This is in response to the amendment filed on 12-12-2007. Claim 8 has been amended and claim 6 has been cancelled. Claims 1-5 and 7-21 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakai (US PAT. 4,727,580) in view of Tsuji (JP 11-186924).

Consider claim 8, Sakai teaches that a noise removal apparatus comprising:

a first demodulator (see fig.1 (26)) configured to produce a demodulation signal, the demodulation signal having information corresponding to audio signals of a plurality of channels, each of the audio signals corresponding to a respective one of the plurality of channels (see col. 3line 35-col. 4 line 50);

an audio signal demodulator (18) configured to receive and demodulate the demodulation signal produced by the first demodulator (26) in order to obtain the audio signals corresponding to each of the plurality of channels (R, L) from the demodulation signal, the audio signal demodulator further being configured to output the audio signals (see fig.6 and col. 6 line 10-68);

a noise detector (17) configured to receive the demodulation signal communicated between the first demodulator (26) and the audio signal demodulator (18), the noise detector (17) further being configured to detect noise in the received demodulation signal (see col. 2line 10-68); and

a corrector (19) independently configured to correct the detected noise in each audio signal, which is outputted from said audio signal demodulator, according to the output of said noise detector (see fig.4 and col. 4 line 50-col. 5 line 60); but Sakai does not explicitly teach at least one corrector configured to: receive the audio signals respectively corresponding to the plurality of channels outputted from the audio signal demodulator, and independently correct the detected noise in each of the audio signals, outputted from said audio signal demodulator according to the output of said noise detector.

However, Tsuji teaches at least one corrector configured to (see fig. 18 (28)): receive the audio signals respectively corresponding to the plurality of channels(Rch, Lch) outputted from the audio signal demodulator(5), and independently correct the detected noise in each of the audio signals, outputted from said audio signal demodulator according to the output of said noise detector (26)(see figs. 18, 20 and see detail disruption page 7 [0038]-[0041] and figs 8-12).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Sakai in to the teaching of Tsuji to provide an apparatus for the separation and demodulation of such signal would benefit from the ability to adjust to this temporal variation to reduce the noise.

Consider claim 9 Tsuji et al. teaches that the noise removal apparatus of said noise detector (see fig.10, 20) conducts the noise detection such that, for each predetermined period which alternates among a plurality of channels, a portion of the period respectively overlaps with each other (see detail disruption page 5 [0024]-[0030] and figs 8-12 and see the discussion above claim 8).

Consider claims 10-11 Sakai teaches that the noise removal apparatus of the output of said noise detector (see fig.1 (17)), a generation condition of the noise is detected, and corresponding to the detected result, the detection sensitivity of said noise detector (17) is controlled (see fig.4 and col. 4 line 50-col. 5 line 60); and an audio output apparatus including said noise removal apparatus (see fig.4 and col. 4 line 50-col. 5 line 60).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-5, 7, and 12-21 allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 6-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection and see the final rejection above.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop (explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Lao, Lun-See whose telephone number is (571) 272-7501 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chin Vivian, can be reached on (571) 272-7848.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Lao, Lun-See L. S.
Patent Examiner
US Patent and Trademark Office
Knox
571-272-7501
Date 12-12-2007

VIVIAN CHIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT BYANNER TECHNOLO NO CONTER 2000